

-June 24, 2021

Elizabeth A. "Libby" Smith  
Office of the Circuit Executive  
P.O. Box 193939  
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Subject: "Instant" Investigation Demand: Case-Specific Example of Widespread Deficiency  
Report of Fraudulent Use of Justice Systems in Eugene OR District Court  
Local Case 6:11-cv-000253 Incoming Appeal 21-35304 Clark v. Wells Fargo et al

This report documents a national tragedy and an immediate emergency. This report is not about case facts which are germane to the Court. This is not a judicial misconduct report. This special report details fraudulent use of court systems by legal industry participants to conceal evidence and obstruct justice... while massively wasting public resources.

The lengthy docket history and tele-hearing transcripts are in PACER/ECF. For convenience they are posted <https://www.GoodShipGinsburg.com/frauddocket.pdf>. Obstruction of evidence is proven within PACER/ECF and it represents a criminal violation of 18 U.S.C. 1519. Any person with experience interpreting a court docket will immediately see major Red Flags:

1. My 'Factual Pleading' complaint is a simple account of defendant's criminal activity that harmed me. It remains completely unheard due to attorney-driven fraud and deceit. In my case, there are c. 20 attorneys on the distribution list. They all refused to recognize any evidence could possibly exist even though I filed it into the courts with my complaint or via motion. They all refused to confer. They all filed infantile, irrelevant personal attacks against me. They all filed similar denials. They all filed boilerplate legal forms to obtain case dismissal before any factual basis or evidence is allowed. They all lied about every case detail in writing and during tele-hearings. They all committed federal felonies.
2. The timing of the nine-month "stay" instead of discovery followed by case dismissal is in itself highly suspicious and does not conform to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. It seems to make it physically impossible to submit a Motion for Trial per Rule 38 prior to case dismissal, as one example.
3. The court record demonstrates a generic-and-illegal method attorneys use to criminalize external business correspondence by dumping it into the court as a self-declared violation. Please notice an

un-adjudicated “gag order” was generated without hearing early in the discovery process. Activity by the defendants to criminalize external communications in that fashion represents a stark, mocking violation of 18 U.S.C. 241: “Conspiracy Against Rights”: 1) to a trial of facts /evidence and 2) the obligation to speak of harm done to me as detailed in my unheard complaint and the fact they are concealing it in the courts against the interests of their clients. *John Pollino of GHR Lawyers in Salem OR lacks competence with subject matter but the other attorneys agreed with his work . It is a sad day in America when a couple-dozen professional attorneys are unaware that allegations of violations of federal law 18 U.S.C. 241 are not “conspiracy theories” as Mr. Pollino proclaimed in a filing.*

4. Compare the motions listed on the Notices of Hearings with the docket. Notice that none of my factual motions were heard but defendant’s obstructive motions were given two hearings followed by dismissal/sanctions. The transcripts show the judge demonstrated total lack of case knowledge.

5. No substantive facts were recognized or adjudicated yet the c. 40 pages of ‘opinions and orders’ are detailed defendant-attorney’s lies, favorable only to them. The PACER/ECF system records shows how defendant-attorneys refused to participate in ‘discovery’ as I described in fine detail with my unheard Motion for Judicial Conference per FRCP 16. They obtained dismissal and sanctions hearings instead. I assert defendants provided the content for the court staff to input and have robo-signed.

6. The court staff manipulated the titles of my filings and minimized them. I filed several Motions to Notice Adjudicative Fact. Those were all downgraded by the court staff to Requests to Notice Fact. The transcript of the second hearing shows Judge Aiken telling me that I must file a Motion if I want to address the court. She was unaware that I had filed all those Motions. Her staff had to point out my Motion under FRCP 16 for Judicial Conference which specifically details how defendant-attorneys were abusing the discovery process. It was bypassed along with all my court filings by the way court staff and /or defendant-attorneys manipulated the record seen by the Judge.

7. The hearing transcripts show defendant-attorneys being permitted to bully me in complete absence of fact. Attorney John Pollino of GHR Lawyers in Salem OR kicked off the insult-fest in the first telephone hearing with “I don’t like Mr. Clark” and the judge threatened me with dismissal if I responded. There is no trace of civility among defendant-attorneys despite my endless, repeated, even self-effacing conciliatory gestures. *Both transcripts demonstrate a court being used as my pillory and gibbet basket while boldly violating all my civil rights, human rights, and constitutional protections.*

8. *Possible* implications of Case Management System case scoring programs... Mine is a Racketeering lawsuit as well as a private Clayton Act filing. Based on reading, it *appears* the courts use a scoring system that encourages the dismissal of those types of cases. Documentation in the appeals court website *indicates* that scoring systems can be adjusted to exclude/include more cases as judicial staff permits. Generally: court systems *appear* to be nearly unedited and rely upon “trust”. Attorneys and others *definitely* have become skilled at manipulating the court’s information systems to provide the Color of Law to their illegal, unprofessional, and immoral results....or just to clear their caseload.

Transcripts and PACER Records of This and Prior Related Cases are Indelible Proof of Allegations

The PACER/ECF system documents major irregularities and lack of transparency. The methods used and the procedural deficiencies appear to be endemic to the Eugene Oregon court and likely other courts as well. I feel that finding and correcting system deficiencies is more important than punishing people. So far, it appears any civil complaint whether by letter or lawsuit gets passed back to the offenders to answer.

Until corrected, the PACER/ECF record and transcripts show the court to be a shining gold-plated Potemkin Village devoid of human concern for the citizens. Those records document a kleptocracy run by and for the sole profit of the legal industry workers. It shows a justice system in Eugene Oregon staffed by spoiled and cloistered people, some of whom are extremely devious and cruel, who abuse systems to harm others. From top to bottom, local government workers refuse to ever talk with a citizen about a problem and say something like “we are sorry, let’s make it right.” They learned they can perpetrate any crime against citizens and get away with it. They know they are represented by the Oregon Department of Justice... the largest law firm in Oregon... and the citizens are not.

The transcripts show the actual content of a court hearing to be fraudulent and shameful; a national embarrassment caused by systemic public corruption which includes ‘fealty’ among judicial system workers such as attorneys and judges. Their activity is given the rosy Color of the Law by conniving court staff fraudulently using unedited court systems in an unregulated revolving-door legal industry.

Signed,

/s

Andrew (Andy) Clark 3270 Stoney Ridge Rd. Eugene, OR 97405 541.510.3915  
operationsunriselaw@gmail.com

Transcripts and Docket History are in PACER/ECF. For convenience or if lacking access:  
[www.GoodShipGinsburg.com/frauddocket.pdf](http://www.GoodShipGinsburg.com/frauddocket.pdf) .