

July 8, 2021

John Keller – Public Integrity Section  
1331 F Street NW  
Washington, DC 20005

Instant Investigation – Public Corruption  
District Court Eugene OR 6:20.cv.000253  
Clark v. Wells Fargo et al

Manufacture of Crime. False Arrest of Federal Witness. Concealment in the Courts

Background: I was a lowest-level Well Fargo worker. I reported significant issues internally and externally. I was a ‘federal witness’ with two agency acceptance letters in hand. Due to early signs of retaliation, I also performed in-person, sworn-to “evidence parking” with local FBI (Exhibit 1).

Ogletree Deakins is an employer’s law firm. They filed a SLAPP-suit against me 6.11.cv.06248.ho. They twice arranged for false arrest of me at home by manufacturing crime. In both cases, they used attorney-agents to criminalize communications. Ogletree Deakins got ‘caught’ doing just that in Maricopa County AZ in the same timeferame ([www.GoodShipGinsburg.com/theybbad.pdf](http://www.GoodShipGinsburg.com/theybbad.pdf)). Seyfarth Shaw, another law firm was hired to conceal it in the courts...year after year.

I collected and posted complete proof of all allegations, including police and court audio recordings, transcripts, a completely forged search/seizure warrant, and so much more. The most unusual item is an accidental police audio inside their headquarters: an officer did not turn off his microphone so I was able to capture what went on inside of police HQ. Hear the police officer call Wells Fargo. I never had a website but I had to learn fast after the first false arrest. Police and Court audio is posted on [www.RisePatriot.com](http://www.RisePatriot.com) . Family Court audio shows interface, it is posted [www.WellsFargoWitz.com](http://www.WellsFargoWitz.com).

Public Integrity LOST: Judges Trust What Their Conniving Staff Put Into the Systems

The PACER/ECF system records for the subject case and previous attempts at justice including the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court of Appeals is simple, indelible proof of my allegations. A correct fact-finder for this report is a talented paralegal or any person with skill *interpreting* a Docket History. To be clear: they are not interpreting ‘facts and evidence’ which are germane to a functioning court.

The fact-finder need access only the PACER/ECF records of this case to confirm my ‘Factual Pleading’ along with all my evidence was completely bypassed....buried in defendant’s insulting boilerplate legal pleadings and personal attacks that just echo each other; over and over and over again and then the lawsuit got dismissed. And it appears that is what is happening in courts all over the United States. Docket History and Hearing Transcripts Posted on [www.GoodShipGinsburg.com/frauddocket.pdf](http://www.GoodShipGinsburg.com/frauddocket.pdf)

Docket Hopping: Specific Fraud Used to Bypass Facts and Evidence. Defendants Wrote the Findings.

This is the generic method used in all the related court events to bypass the factual basis and evidence. The docket shows my motions. It shows their motions vastly outnumber mine in total because there are so many defendants. When the court processes any given “decision” they automatically and without hearing declare all the items in docket with lower docket numbers to be “moot”. They don’t even list what the motions were...they are dismissed as a batch and that happens in the appeals court, too.

Notice the lengthy 3.31.21 “opinions and orders” generated and robo-signed by the court’s system. There are c. 40 pages of fine details...all extremely prejudicial to me, all arrived at without “discovery” or adjudication. It is as if defendants were allowed to write the opinions. The tele-hearing transcripts are atrocious, the judge absolutely refused to hear any of my motions or facts in any context at any time. I call it “Docket Hopping” because they “hop” right over all the facts and evidence.

#### The Evidence in Mine and All Other Cases is Indelibly Recorded in PACER/ECF

I filed my (latest version in late February 2020. It is a simple account of what defendants did to me. I included basic evidence. It is the fairly rare “Factual Pleading”. There was a significant cost and effort to me as a pro se to create, file and deliver my lawsuit to the court. Due to advance, in person “evidence parking” at local Federal Bureau-Investigation it is also a crime report per 18 USC 4 (Misprision of Felony). The system would work if people followed FRCP.

#### Defendant Attorneys Absolutely Refused to Confer

Per Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP) the first activity is “conference”. The Pandemic prevented any face-to-face but it is usually by telephone. My case is different than most in that it is a factual complaint backed up by evidence. In conference, I wanted to demonstrate to each defendant how that evidence comports to the facts. That won’t ever happen because they always deny everything.

Each attorney had a much-practiced, very generic method of total denial: 1) they deny any facts or evidence of anything exists or is relevant. 2) they deny their clients had anything to do with whatever it is I am alleging 3) they all told me to dismiss their client and threaten me with vague-but-dire consequences if I don’t dismiss their client. 4) most of them in one-way-or-another ‘call it crazy’.

I attempted to point them to the facts and evidence of the complaint. I try to ask them if they looked at the early police material, as example. They act as if they don’t even hear me. They do the exact same thing with anything written to them. The docket of this and prior related cases repeatedly shows attorneys writing letters to me demanding I only write to them but...they never respond to anything. They all absolutely refused to discuss anything factual, the average call lasted under five minutes.

#### Discovery is Bypassed by Docket Hopping. It is a national disgrace.

Soon after attempt-at-conference, all the defendants filed what amounts to form boilerplate. It is elaborate looking. A fact-finder who is a talented paralegal will be able to confirm that almost all citizen’s complaints in District Courts ... filed by attorney or pro se... are dismissed using similar forms. All those words...sometimes pages of them... all say pretty much exactly the same thing. There was absolutely no reason they couldn’t have simply wrote a “joint response” because they end up referring to each other’s filings anyway. In my case, the defendants also all inserted piles of personal attacks against me, which I assert is an obvious violation of 18 USC 241 (conspiracy against rights) and 18 USC 1519, which is why one of my websites is [www.GoodShipGinsburg.com](http://www.GoodShipGinsburg.com).

The fact-finder can look at my Motions. The docket history will reflect I was attempting to enter more facts and evidence using Motions to Notice Adjudicative Fact. The docket history will show I twice filed for judicial intervention, once via the LR-7 filing and again using a Motion for Judicial Conference per FRCP 16. All my submissions were completely unheard and bypassed.

Court's Tele-Hearings... A Modern Day Pillory. Lack of Integrity Obvious in Transcripts

In my case there was never any trial and the only two hearings were devoted to defendant's standard motions for dismissal. The Hearing Transcripts in PACER cost me \$168 and are in PACER and on [www.GoodShipGinsburg.com](http://www.GoodShipGinsburg.com). One after another, defendants were permitted to lie and insult me. Nothing I submitted to the court was considered in any context. The combination of the docket history and hearing transcripts show that court processes were used in a way that resulted in the obstruction of facts and evidence and thus represent a complete waste of the public resource by the oh-so-guilty defendants.

The transcripts serve another purpose. Defendants are quick to claim "the judge did or said....". The transcripts demonstrate the Judge had no knowledge of the case whatsoever. The judge only knows what her staff put into her system. That appears to be the most basic problem all over America in all courts: judges who act based on information placed into their systems by industry participants. Trusting judges all over will go with whatever is in the system almost 100% of the time. Nationwide, the actual role of a "judge" is now highly questionable as it is shown their unsupervised staff manipulate a system and keep the judge away from anything "factual". The trusting judge's robo-signature is affixed to completely bogus output of a very broken court system.

Conclusion Based on the PACER/ECF Evidence:

Until corrected, the PACER/ECF record and transcripts show the court to be a shining gold-plated Potemkin Village devoid of human concern for the citizens. Those records document a kleptocracy run by and for the sole profit of the legal industry workers. It shows a justice system in Eugene Oregon staffed by spoiled and cloistered people, some of whom are extremely devious and cruel, who abuse systems to harm others. From top to bottom, local government workers refuse to ever talk with a citizen about a problem and say something like "we are sorry, let's make it right." They learned they can perpetrate any crime against citizens and get away with it. They know they are represented by the Oregon Department of Justice... the largest law firm in Oregon... and the citizens are not.

Signed,

/s

Andrew (Andy) Clark 3270 Stoney Ridge Rd. Eugene, OR 97405 541.510.3915  
[OperationSunriseLaw@gmail.com](mailto:OperationSunriseLaw@gmail.com)